

Remediation of BTEX in Shallow, Silty Clay Soil – Successes and Insights

Brian D. Symons, P.E.

FOTH Infrastructure & Environment, LLC

Overland Park, Kansas



What We Want to Learn?

Complexities of Nature
Treatment Train Options
Real Solutions



Background

- ◆ Fuel release discovered in May 1998 during soil probe investigation for property transfer.
- ◆ Three on-site storage tanks contained unleaded gasoline.



Background (continued)



- ◆ Active facility [fueling station]
- ◆ Small site, 0.3 acres [300 ft x 400 ft]
- ◆ Shallow groundwater [5 to 8 ft bgs]
- ◆ Silty clay soil [0 to 20 ft bgs]
- ◆ BTEX and MTBE are chemicals of concern

Factors Affecting Strategy Selection

- ◆ **Ownership**: Responsible Party not current owner; facility closure/demolition not an option.
- ◆ **Minimize community concerns**: noise, odors, aesthetics, safety.
- ◆ **Minimize waste generation**: soil, wastewater, and air emissions.
- ◆ **Minimize cost**: State-funded site sought lower-cost, non-innovative options.

A 20-Year Subsurface Remediation Treatment Train



Typical Treatment Options

- ◆ Free Product Recovery
- ◆ Soil Excavation and Off-Site Disposal
- ◆ Pump & Treat Groundwater
- ◆ In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)
- ◆ Air Sparging/Soil Vapor Extraction (AS/SVE)
- ◆ In-Situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR)
- ◆ Vacuum Enhanced Recovery (VER)
- ◆ Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

Free Product Recovery

- ◆ **The Engine:** Free product recovery
- ◆ Two inches of free product measured at one well (MW-4) in 1999.
- ◆ Free product recovered using passive and active bailing methods due to limited free product depth and soil type.
- ◆ Regulation required free product recovery before further active remediation.

Free Product Recovery - Results

- ◆ 0.55 gallons free product recovered
 - ▶ 0.5 gallons recovered at first event in November 1999.
 - ▶ 0.05 gallons recovered after that through September 2000; none afterwards.
- ◆ Free product recovery officially ceased October 2002.

Excavation Soil and Off-Site Disposal

- ◆ Too **disruptive** at active facility.
- ◆ Existing small business would be adversely affected.
- ◆ **Not viable: Release this car.**

Pump & Treat Groundwater

- ◆ Technology proven historically to be ineffective for removing BTEX in silty clay soil to achieve risk-based criteria.
- ◆ Local city wastewater utility not willing to accept groundwater discharge.
- ◆ Trucking to more distant discharge too expensive.
- ◆ **Not viable: Release this car.**

In-Situ Chemical Oxidation – H₂O₂



- ◆ **Car 1:** Solar-powered system installed August 11-14, 2003 injected dilute (4-8%) hydrogen peroxide.
- ◆ 30 wells installed to 1.5 ft; 6 wells to 7 ft.
- ◆ No significant wastewater, waste soil, or air emissions.

ISCO Hydrogen Peroxide - Results

- ◆ BTEX risk-based concentrations in vadose achieved.
- ◆ BTEX concentrations reduced in groundwater.
- ◆ Silty clay soil beneath 1.5-ft injection depth not significantly affected.
- ◆ System discontinued November 2011 after 8 years of operation.
- ◆ Newly required confirmation sampling in 2012 established **soil as long-term risk driver.**



Benzene in Soil (mg/kg) - 2013



Air Sparging / Soil Vapor Extraction

- ◆ Car 2: ART system evaluated
May 29, 2014 – March 11, 2015.
- ◆ Soil venting and in-well air sparging and groundwater recirculation to add oxygen to subsurface vadose and saturated soil.
- ◆ One 6-inch well installed to 15 feet bgs.
- ◆ No significant wastewater or waste soil for disposal or air emissions.

AS/SVE Pilot Study - Results

- ◆ Effective at reducing soil and groundwater concentrations of BTEX.
- ◆ Radius of influence limited to 15 to 20 ft.
- ◆ Drilling equipment for large-diameter AS/SVE wells cannot fit under fueling canopy where significant BTEX in soil remained.
- ◆ The 15-well operation required for full-scale operation would be **too expensive** compared to other options.

ISCO/Anaerobic Biodegradation

- ◆ **Car 3:** Two injections of Carus Oxygen BioChem (OBC™) with Geoprobe™ (13,700 lb total).
 - ▶ July 27-August 6, 2015: 57 points
 - ▶ August 23-August 29, 2016: 36 points
- ◆ Injection pressures 25 to 225 psig.
- ◆ Injection depths 5 to 12 ft bgs.
- ◆ Bottom-up approach.

First OBC Injection Event



Second OBC Injection Event



Treatment Results – MW-4

Well ID	Sample Timeframe	Sample Date	Benzene	Xylenes	Dissolved Oxygen	Sulfate
			(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-4	Lowest High Risk SSTL		6,514	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Lowest Low Risk SSTL		1,540	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pre-Pilot	5/30/2012	2,820	11,900	---	---
		9/20/2013	1,360	10,200	---	---
		4/24/2014	1,990	15,800	---	---
	AS/SVE Pilot Study	8/14/2014	920	9,440	---	---
		10/22/2014	859	9,060	1.22	---
		1/22/2015	781	8,430	1.67	---
	Pre-Injection (1st Event)	4/28/2015	856	8,210	1.33	---
		7/27/2015	765	3,780	0.22	<1
	Post-Injection (1st Event)	1/29/2016	711	2,560	1.33	658
		7/11/2016	697	2,700	0.50	1,950
	Post-Injection (2nd Event)	10/11/2016	634	1,830	0.09	2,330
		10/12/2017	331	1,610	0.00	780

Treatment Results – MW-14

Well ID	Sample Timeframe	Sample Date	Benzene	Xylenes	Dissolved Oxygen	Sulfate
			(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-14	Lowest High Risk SSTL		4,116	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Lowest Low Risk SSTL		1,540	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pre-Pilot	5/30/2012	2,380	4,790	---	---
		11/19/2012	2,110	3,010	---	---
		4/25/2013	1,350	6,210	---	---
	AS/SVE Pilot Study	8/14/2014	878	2,270	---	---
		10/22/2014	1,280	4,950	1.88	---
		1/22/2015	1,410	3,830	2.28	---
	Pre-Injection (1st Event)	4/28/2015	1,180	2,110	1.49	---
		7/27/2015	531	8,740	0.20	<1
	Post-Injection (1st Event)	10/6/2015	1,180	1,280	1.16	288
		7/11/2016	505	744	0.04	2,370
	Post-Injection (2nd Event)	10/11/2016	414	562	0.09	1,570
		10/12/2017	553	1,060	0.00	1,420

Treatment Results – MW-15R

Well ID	Sample Timeframe	Sample Date	Benzene	Xylenes	Dissolved Oxygen	Sulfate
			(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-15R	Lowest High Risk SSTL		6,529	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Lowest Low Risk SSTL		1,540	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pre-Pilot	6/4/2012	4,370	10,500	---	---
		11/19/2012	5,830	7,960	---	---
		4/25/2013	5,040	13,900	---	---
	AS/SVE Pilot Study	8/14/2014	2,890	8,360	---	---
		10/22/2014	3,200	8,070	3.11	---
		1/22/2015	2,560	6,200	0.89	---
	Pre-Injection (1st Event)	4/28/2015	2,570	7,430	1.17	---
		7/27/2015	2,600	8,820	0.26	<1
	Post-Injection (1st Event)	10/6/2015	2,500	3,890	1.36	129
		7/11/2016	1,650	2,810	0.03	106
	Post-Injection (2nd Event)	10/11/2016	1,710	1,750	0.07	254
		10/12/2017	1,060	877	0.06	1,080

Treatment Results – MW-9

Well ID	Sample Timeframe	Sample Date	Benzene	Xylenes	Dissolved Oxygen	Sulfate
			(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-9	Lowest High Risk SSTL		5,416	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Lowest Low Risk SSTL		1,540	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pre-Pilot	5/30/2012	9,750	8,240	---	---
		4/25/2013	15,800	9,280	---	---
		4/24/2014	13,000	15,600	---	---
	AS/SVE Pilot Study	8/14/2014	5,530	8,370	---	---
		10/22/2014	2,840	3,620	3.34	---
		1/22/2015	7,590	7,900	0.85	---
	Pre-Injection (1st Event)	4/28/2015	5,510	8,220	1.15	---
		7/27/2015	4,380	10,200	0.26	198
	Post-Injection (1st Event)	10/6/2015	3,190	4,920	1.32	792
		7/11/2016	2,190	2,480	0.08	1,450
	Post-Injection (2nd Event)	10/11/2016	1,410	2,520	0.03	658
		10/12/2017	2,050	2,770	0.00	917

ISCO/ISCR - Results

- ◆ BTEX concentrations at monitoring wells decreased.
- ◆ Some rebound was observed at some wells.
- ◆ Fluctuating BTEX concentrations in groundwater partly due to seasonal water level changes.
- ◆ Residual sulfate remained elevated and maintained **anaerobic conditions**.
- ◆ Anaerobic bio slower than aerobic bio.

VER - Description

- ◆ Car 4: Two one-day events of VER.
 - ▶ October 23, 2017
 - ▶ November 13, 2017
- ◆ MW-4, MW-14, MW-15R, MW-9, MW-17, RW-1.
- ◆ Extraction vacuum -18 to -25 psig.
- ◆ Extraction depths 5 to 15 ft bgs.
- ◆ Q=0.18 to 1.8 cfm; VOCs=376 to 1630 ppmV.

VER – Results

- ◆ VER results demonstrated low natural hydraulic conductivity indicative of **silty clay soil**.
 - ▶ Low liquid recovery [450 gallons total groundwater recovered].
 - ▶ Low air flow [$Q=0.18$ to 1.8 cfm].
 - ▶ Limited vacuum influence [Less than 20 ft].
 - ▶ High noise level.
- ◆ No natural fractures or other heterogeneity to enhance recovery.

Hydraulic Conductivity Estimated

- ◆ The most significant site parameter is **hydraulic conductivity**
- ◆ Historic Slug Test Data (typical of sandy silt)
 - ▶ MW-4..... 1.16×10^{-5} cm/sec
 - ▶ MW-10..... 2.19×10^{-4} cm/sec (off-site)
 - ▶ MW-11..... 4.06×10^{-4} cm/sec (off-site)
- ◆ Recent VER Recovery Data (typical of **silty clay**)
 - ▶ MW-14..... 9.71×10^{-7} cm/sec
 - ▶ MW-15R..... 9.94×10^{-7} cm/sec

The Caboose on this
Treatment Train is....

Monitored Natural Attenuation

- ◆ Continue bioremediation through anaerobic reductive de-chlorination.
- ◆ Utilize **semi-annual groundwater sampling and post-event monitoring** data to evaluate when the OBC/sulfate concentrations are spent.
- ◆ Re-evaluate site risk-parameters including hydraulic conductivity to **show potential current and future risks are minimal.**

Remediation Treatment Train



The Engine: Free Product Recovery

Car 1: In-Situ Chemical Oxidation

Car 2: Air Sparge/Soil Vapor Extraction

Car 3: ISCO/Anaerobic Biodegradation

Car 4: Vacuum-Enhanced Extraction

The Caboose: MNA

Real Solutions

- ◆ **Real solutions** for shallow, silty clay soil **will take time** if access for excavation or active mixing is limited.
- ◆ **Real solutions** must determine and incorporate **site-specific considerations**.
- ◆ **Real solutions** require frequent re-evaluation of progress to **allow system modification**.
- ◆ **Real solutions** often require more than one technology to be applied – the **Treatment Train**.

